## l' **Experiment 1: Introduction to** *Ray* **Optics**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench, -Ray Table and Base, -Slit Plate, -Viewing Screen.

-Light Source, -Component Holder, -Ray Table Component Holder,



**Figure 1.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Purpose**

- (j) Observe straight line propagation of light.
- ® Use Ray Tracing to locate an object.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 1.1, and tum on the Light Source. Darken the room enough so the light rays on the Ray Table are easily visible.

#### **Straight Line Propagation of Light**

Observe the light rays on the Ray Table.

- $\circled{1}$  Are the rays straight?  $\Box$
- ® How does the width and distinctness of each ray vary with its distance from the Slit Plate?

Set the Viewing Screen and its holder aside for the next step.

® Lower your head until you can look along one ofthe "Rays" oflight on the Ray Table. Where does the light originate? What path did it take going from there to your eye? Try this for several  $r_{\rm av}$ s.  $\overline{r}$ 

Replace the Viewing Screen as shown in Figure 1.1. Rotate the Slit Plate slowly on the component holder until the slits are horizontal. Observe the slit images on the Viewing Screen.

- @ How does the width and distinctness ofthe slit images depend on the angle ofthe Slit Plate?
- @ For what angle ofthe Slit Plate are the images most distinct? For what angle are the images least distinct? \_



® On a separate sheet of paper, explain your observations in terms ofthe straight line propagation of light. Include a diagram showing how the width of the slit images depends on the orientation of the Light Bulb filament with respect to the Slit Plate.

**Ray Tracing: Locating the Filament**



**Figure 1.2:** Ray **Tracing**

You can use the fact that light propagates in a straight line to measure the distance between the Light Source filament and the center of the Ray Table. Figure 1.2 shows how. The rays on the Ray Table all originate from the filament of the Light Source. Since light travels in a straight line, you need only extend the rays backward to locate the filament. (See Step 3 in the first part of this experiment.)

Place a piece of blank white paper on top of the Ray Table, holding it there with a piece of tape. Make a reference mark on the paper at the position of the center of the Ray Table. Using a pencil and straight edge, trace the edges of several of the rays onto the paper.

Remove the paper. Use the pencil and straightedge to extend each of the rays. Trace them back to their common point of intersection. (You may need to tape on an additional sheet of paper.) Label the filament and the center of the Ray Table on your diagram.

- $\Phi$  Measure the distance between your reference mark and the point of intersection of the rays.
- ® Use the metric scale on the Optics Bench to measure the distance between the filament and the center of the Ray Table directly (see the note in Figure 1.2).  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1$
- ® How well do your measurements in Steps I and 2 agree? Comment. \_

One of the key ideas that this experiment illustrates is the ability for us to trace light rays to their origin or apparent origin. This concept will prove most useful in future experiments.



 $\zeta$ 

## **Experiment 2:** *The Law* **of Reflection**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

- -Optics Bench -Ray Table and Base -Slit Plate
- -Ray Optics Mirror.

-Light Source -Component Holder -Slit Mask



**Figure 2.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

The shape and location of the image created by reflection from a mirror of any shape is determined by just a few simple principles. One of these principles you already know: light propagates in a straight line. You will have an opportunity to learn the remaining principles in this experiment.

To determine the basic principles underlying any phenomenon, it is best to observe that phenomenon in its simplest possible form. In this experiment, you will observe the reflection of a single ray of light from a plane mirror. The principles you discover will be applied, in later experiments, to more complicated examples of reflection.







#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 2.1. Adjust the components so a single ray of light is aligned with the bold arrow labeled "Normal" on the Ray Table Degree Scale. Carefully align the flat reflecting surface of the mirror with the bold line labeled "Component" on the Ray Table. With the mirror properly aligned, the bold anow on the Ray Table is normal (at right angles) to the plane of the reflecting surface.

Rotate the Ray Table and observe the light ray. The angles of incidence and reflection are measured with respect to the normal to the reflecting surface, as shown in Figure 2.2.

By rotating the Ray Table, set the angle of incidence to each of the settings shown in Table 2.1. For each angle of incidence, record the angle of reflection (Reflection<sub>1</sub>). Repeat your measurements with the incident ray coming from the opposite side of the normal (Reflection,).

 $\Phi$  Are the results for the two trials the same? If not, to what do you attribute the differences?

- @ Part ofthe law of reflection states that the incident ray, the normal and the reflected ray all lie in the same plane. Discuss how this is shown in your experiment \_
- ® What relationship holds between the angle ofincidence and the angle ofreflection? \_

#### **Additional Questions**

- $\odot$  The Law of Reflection has two parts. State both parts.
- @ You were asked to measure the angle of reflection when the ray was incident on either side of the normal to the surface of the mirror. What advantages does this provide?
- ® Physicists expend a great deal of energy in attempts to increase the accuracy with which an exact law can be proven valid. How might you test the Law of Reflection to a higher level of accuracy than in the experiment you just performed?

**Table 2.1 Data**





## ( **Experiment 3: Image Formation in a Plane Mirror**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench -Ray Table and Base -Slit Plate

-Light Source -Component Holder -Ray Optics Mirror



**Figure 3.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

Looking into a mirror and seeing a nearly exact image of yourself hardly seems like the result of simple physical principles. But it is. The nature of the image you see in a mirror is understandable in terms of the principles you have already learned: the Law of Reflection and the straight-line propagation of light.

In this experiment you will investigate how the apparent location of an image reflected from a plane mirror relates to the location of the object, and how this relationship is a direct result of the basic principles you have already studied.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 3.1. Adjust the Slit Plate and Light Source positions for sharp, easily visible rays.

As shown, place a blank, white sheet of paper on top of the Ray Table, and place the Ray Optics Mirror on top of the paper. Position the mirror so that all of the light rays are reflected from its flat surface. Draw a line on the paper to mark the position of the flat surface of the mirror.

Look into the mirror along the line of the reflected rays so that you can see the image of the Slit Plate and, through the slits, the filament of the Light Source. (Rotate the mirror as needed to do this.)

CD Do the rays seem to follow a straight line into the mirror? \_

With a pencil, mark two points along one edge of each of the incident and reflected rays. Label the points  $(r_1, r_2,$  etc.), so you know which points belong to which ray.

Remove the paper and reconstruct the rays as shown on the next page (Figure 3.2), using a pencil and straightedge. If you need to, tape on additional pieces of paper. Draw dotted lines to extend the incident and reflected rays. (If this ray tracing technique is unfamiliar to you, review ray tracing in Experiment I: Introduction to Ray Optics.)

On your drawing, label the position of the filament and the apparent position of its reflected image.



 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ )



**Figure 3.2 Ray Tracing**

- $\oslash$  What is the perpendicular distance from the filament to the plane of the mirror (distance  $d_i$ , as shown in the Figure 3.2)?
- ® What is the perpendicular distance from the image of the filament to the plane ofthe mirror (distance  $d_2$ , as shown in the Figure)?

Change the position of the mirror and the Light Source and repeat the experiment.

@ What is the relationship between object and image location for reflection in a plane mirror?

#### **Additional Questions**

- $\Phi$  If one wall of a room consists of a large, flat mirror, how much larger does the room appear to be than it actually is?
- ® Make a diagram illustrating why an image ofthe letter F, reflected from a plane mirror, is inverted. (Treat each corner on the F as a source of light. Locate the image for each source to construct the image of the F.)
- ® How does the size of the image reflected from a plane mirror relate to the size ofthe object?



 $\cdot$  $\mathcal{M}$ 

## **Experiment 4: The Law of Refraction**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench -Ray Table and Base -Slit Plate -Cylindrical Lens.

-Light Source -Component Holder -Slit Mask



**Figure 4.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

As you have seen, the direction of light propagation changes abruptly when light encounters a reflective surface. The direction also changes abruptly when light passes across a boundary between two different media of propagation, such as between air and acrylic, or between glass and water. In this case, the change of direction is called Refraction.

As for reflection, a simple law characterizes the behavior of a refracted ray of light. According to the Law of Refraction, also known as Snell's Law:

$$
n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2
$$

The quantities n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> are constants, called indices of refraction, that depend on the two media through which the light is passing. The angles  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the angles that the ray of light makes with the normal to the boundary between the two media (see the inset in Figure 4.1). **In** this experiment you will test the validity of this law, and also measure the index of refraction for acrylic.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 4.1. Adjust the components so a single ray of light passes directly throngh the center of the Ray Table Degree Scale. Align the flat surface of the Cylindrical Lens with the line labeled "Component". With the lens properly aligned, the radial lines extending from the center of the Degree Scale will all be perpendicular to the circular surface of the lens.



,



On a separate sheet of paper, construct a graph with sin(angle of refraction) on the x-axis and sin(angle of incidence) on the y-axis. Draw the best fit straight line for each of your two sets of data.

- ® Is your graph consistent with the Law ofRefraction? Explain. \_
- @ Measure the slope of your best fit lines. Take the average of your results to determine the index of refraction for acrylic (assume that the index of refraction for air is equal to 1.0).

 $n=$ 

#### **Additional Questions**

- G) In performing the experiment, what difficulties did you encounter in measuring the angle of refraction for large angles of incidence?
- @ Was all the light of the ray refracted? Was some reflected? How might you have used the Law of Reflection to test the alignment of the Cylindrical Lens?
- @ How does averaging the results ofmeasurements taken with the incident ray striking from either side of the normal improve the accuracy of the results?



## **Experiment 5: Reversibility**

## **Equipment** Needed: -Light Source -Optics Bench -Ray Table and Base -Component Holder -Slit Mask -Slit Plate -Cylindrical Lens. Slit Mask Incidence. Slit Plate Refraction, The Second Party of the Second Party of the Party of

#### **Introduction**

**Figure 5.1 Equipment Setup**

**In** Experiment 4, you determined the relationship that exists between the angle of incidence and the angle of refraction for light passing from air into a more optically dense medium (the Cylindrical Lens). An important question remains. Does the same relationship hold between the angles of incidence and refraction for light passing out of a more optically dense medium back into air? That is to say, if the light is traveling in the opposite direction, is the law of refraction the same or different? **In** this experiment, you will find the answer to this question.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 5.1. Adjust the components so a single ray of light passes directly through the center of the Ray Table Degree Scale. Align the flat surface of the Cylin-



**Figure 5.2 Internal Angle of Incidence**

drical Lens with the line labeled "Component". With the lens properly aligned, the radial lines extending from the center of the Degree Scale will all be perpendicular to the circular surface of the lens.

Without disturbing the alignment of the lens, rotate the Ray Table and set the angle of incidence to the values listed in Table 5.1 on the following page. Enter the corresponding angles of Refraction in the table in <u>two</u> columns: Refraction, and Incidence,. (Let Incidence,  $=$  Refraction,).



Ray Incident on:	<b>Flat Surface</b>		<b>Curved Surface</b>	
Angle of:		$Incidencet$ Refraction,	Incidence,	Refraction,
	$0^{\circ}$			
	$10^{\circ}$			
	$20^{\circ}$			
	$30^\circ$			
	$40^\circ$			
	$50^\circ$			
	$60^\circ$			
	$70^{\circ}$			
	$80^\circ$			
	$90^\circ$			

**Table 5.1 Data**

Now let the incident ray strike the curved surface of the lens. (Just rotate the Ray Table 180'.) The internal angle of incidence for the flat surface of the Cylindrical Lens is shown in Figure 5.2. Set this angle ofincidence to the values you have already listed in the table (Incidence,). Record the corresponding angles of refraction (Refraction).

 $\odot$  Using your collected values for Incidence, and Refraction, determine the index of refraction for the acrylic from which the Cylindrical Lens is made. (As in experiment 4, assume that the index of refraction for air is equal to 1.0.) nj <sup>=</sup> --------------------------------

- <sup>2</sup> Using your collected values for Incidence, and Refraction,, redetermine the index of refraction for the acrylic from which the Cylindrical Lens is made.  $n_2$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$ 
	-
- @ Is the Law of Refraction the same for light rays going in either direction between the two media? \_
- @ On a separate sheet of paper, make a diagram showing a light ray passing into and out of the Cylindrical Lens. Show the correct angles ofincidence and refraction at both surfaces traversed by the ray. Use arrow heads to indicate the direction of propagation of the ray. Now reverse the arrows on the light ray. Show that the new angles of incidence and refraction are still consistent with the Law of Refraction. This is the principle of optical reversibility.
- ® Does the principle of optical reversibility hold for Reflection as weB as Refraction? Explain.



( ,

## **Experiment 6: Dispersion and Total Internal Reflection**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

- -Optics Bench
- -Ray Plate and Base
- -Slit Plate
- -Cylindrical Lens
- -Viewing Screen.
- -Light Source
- -Component Holder
- -Slit Mask
- -Ray Table Component Holder



**Figure 6.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

In this experiment you will look at two phenomena related to refraction: Dispersion and Total Internal Reflection. Dispersion introduces a complication to the Law of Refraction, which is that most materials have different indexes of refraction for different colors of light. In Total Internal Reflection, it is found that in certain circumstances, light striking an interface between two transparent media can not pass through the interface.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 6.1, so a single light ray is incident on the curved surface of the Cylindrical Lens.

#### **Dispersion**

Set the Ray Table so the angle of incidence of the ray striking the flat surface of the lens (from inside the lens) is zero-degrees. Adjust the Ray Table Component Holder so the refracted ray is visible on the Viewing Screen.

Slowly increase the angle of incidence. As you do, watch the refracted rayon the Viewing Screen.

<D At what angle of refraction do you begin to notice color separation in the refracted ray?



 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ )

- @ At what angle of refraction is the color separation a maximum? \_
- @ What colors are present in the refracted ray? (Write them in the order ofminimum to maximum angle ofrefraction.) \_
- $\Phi$  Measure the index of refraction of acrylic for red and blue light  $(n_{\text{acyclic}} \sin \theta_{\text{acyclic}} = n_{\text{air}} \sin \theta_{\text{air}}).$

~NOTE: In Experiment 4 we said that the index of refraction of a given material is a constant. That statement was almost accurate, but not quite. As you can see, different colors oflight refract to slightly different angles, and therefore have slightly different indexes of refraction.

<sup>n</sup>**red** = 1\1", <sup>=</sup> -----------------

#### Total Internal **Reflection**

Without moving the Ray Table or the Cylindrical Lens, notice that not all of the light in the incident ray is refracted. Part of the light is also reflected.

- $\odot$  From which surface of the lens does reflection primarily occur?  $\Box$
- @ Is there a reflected ray for all angles of incidence? (Use the Viewing Screen to detect faint rays.) and the contract of the

@ Are the angles for the reflected ray consistent with the Law of Reflection? \_

liD Is there a refracted ray for all angles ofincidence? \_

® How do the intensity of the reflected and refracted rays vary with the angle of incidence?

® At what angle of refraction is **all** the light reflected (no refracted ray)? \_



(

## I. **Experiment 7: Converging Lens -Image and Object Relationshios**



#### **Figure 7.1: Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

Given a lens of any shape and index of refraction, you could determine the shape and location of the images it forms based only on the Law of Refraction. You need only apply the law along with some of the ray tracing techniques you have already used. However, for spherical lenses (and for spherical mirrors as well), there is a more general equation that can be used to determine the location and magnification of an image. This equation is called the Fundamental Lens equation:

$$
1/\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{o}} + 1/\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{i}} = 1/\mathbf{f}
$$

where f is the focal length of the lens, and  $\mathbf{d}_a$  and  $\mathbf{d}_b$  are the distance from the mirror to the image and object respectively (see Figure 7.1). The magnification of the image is given by the equation:

$$
m = -d/d_{_o}
$$

In this experiment, you will have an opportunity to test and apply these equations.

**>NOTE:** Instead of the above equation, you may have leamed the Fundamental Lens Equation as  $S_{\alpha} S_i = f^2$ , where  $S_{\alpha}$  and  $S_i$  are the distances between the principle focus of the lens and the object and image, respectively. If so, notice that  $S_0 = d_0 - f$ , and  $S_1 = d_1 - f$  (see Figure 7.1). Using these equalities, convince yourself that  $1/d + 1/d = 1/f$  and  $S_S = f^2$  are different expressions of the same relationship.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 7.1. Tum on the Light Source and slide the lens toward or away from the Crossed Arrow Target, as needed to focus the image ofthe Target onto the Viewing Screen.

- eD Is the image magnified or reduced? \_
- @ Is the image inverted? \_
- $\circledR$  Based on the Fundamental Lens Equation, what would happen to  $\mathbf{d}_i$  if you increased  $\mathbf{d}_i$  even further?



 $\overline{a}$ 





- $\circledast$  What would happen to  $\mathbf{d}_i$  if  $\mathbf{d}_o$  were very, very large?  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$
- @ Using your answer to question 4, measure the focal length of the lens.

Focal Length  $=$   $\Box$ 

Now set  $\mathbf{d}_{\alpha}$  to the values (in millimeters) listed in the table above. At each setting, locate the image and measure  $\mathbf{d}_i$ . Also measure  $\mathbf{h}_i$ , the height of the image. ( $\mathbf{h}_i$  is the height of the arrow on the crossed arrow target.)

Using the data you have collected, perform the calculations shown in the table.

- $\circledR$  Are your results in complete agreement with the Fundamental Lens Equation? If not, to what do you attribute the discrepancies?
- $\circ$  For what values of  $\mathbf{d}_{\text{o}}$  were you unable to focus an image onto the screen? Use the Funda- mental Lens Equation to explain why.

#### Additional Questions

- $\circledR$  For a lens of focal length f, what value of  $d_0$  would give an image with a magnification of one?
- ® Is it possible to obtain a non-inverted image with a converging spherical lens? Explain.
- @ For a converging lens offocal length f, where would you place the object to obtain an image as far away from the lens as possible? How large would the image be?



## **Experiment 8: Light and Color**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

- -Optics Bench -Component Holder
- -Slit Plate
- -Cylindrical Lens
- -Colored Filters (3)

-Ray Table and Base -Ray Table Component Holder -Slit Mask -Viewing Screen



**Figure 8.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

Early investigators assumed that light, in its purest, simplest fonn is white; and that refractive materials alter the characteristics of the white light to create the various colors. Sir Isaac Newton was the first to show that light, in its simplest form, is colored; and that refractive materials merely separate the various colors which are the natural constituents of white light. He used this idea to help explain the colors of objects.

#### **The Colors of Light**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 8.1, so that a single ray of light passes through the center of the Ray Table. Slowly rotate the Ray Table to increase the angle of incidence of the light ray. Examine the refracted rayon the Viewing Screen. Notice the color separation at large angles of refraction.







**Figure 8.3 Equipment Setup**

<u> 1980 - Jan Barnett, mars and de format de la provincia de la provincia de la provincia de la provincia de la</u>

(j) Do your observations support Newton's theory? Explain. \_

To investigate further, setup the equipment as shown in Figure 8.2. Arrange the Cylindrical Lens so that the three central light rays (one red, one green, and one blue) intersect at precisely the same point on the Ray Table. Slowly move the Viewing Screen toward this point of intersection (you'll have to remove it from its component holder).

What color oflight results when red, green, and blue light are mixed? How does this support Newton's theory? \_

#### **The Colors of Objects**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 8.3. Observe the light rays that are transmitted and reflected from the Green Filter.

(j) What color are the transmitted rays? What color are the reflected rays? \_

Place the Red Filter behind the Green Filter (so the light passes first through the Green Filter and then through the Red Filter). Look into the Green Filter.

What color are the reflected rays now? Which rays are reflected from the front surface ofthe Green Filter, and which are reflected from the front surface of the Red Filter?

Place the Blue Filter over the Light Source aperture so the incident rays are blue. Let these rays pass through the Green Filter only.

@ What colors are the reflected rays now? \_

® Based on your observations, what makes the Green Filter appear green? \_



(

### \ **Experiment 9: Two-Slit Interference**



**Figure 9.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

What is light? There may be no complete answer to this question. However, in certain circumstances, light behaves exactly as ifit were a wave. In fact, in this experiment you will measure the wavelength of light, and see how that wavelength varies with color.

In two-slit interference, light falls on an opaque screen with two closely spaced, narrow slits. As Huygen's principle tells us, each slit acts as a new source of light. Since the slits are illuminated by the same wave front, these sources are in phase. Where the wave fronts from the two sources overlap, an interference pattern is formed.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the Equipment as shown in Figure 9.1. The Slit Mask should be centered on the Component Holder. While looking through the Slit Mask, adjust the position of the Diffraction Scale so you can see the filament of the Light Source through the slot in the Diffraction Scale.





Attach the Diffraction Plate to the other side of the Component Holder, as shown. Center pattern D, 'I with the slits vertical, in the aperture of the Slit Mask. Look through the slits. By centering your eye so that you look through both the slits and the window of the Diffraction Plate, you should be able to see clearly both the interference pattern and the illuminated scale on the Diffraction Scale.



#### **Table** 9.1 **Data and Calculations**

~NOTE: In this experiment, you look through the narrow slits at the light source, and the diffraction pattern is formed directly on the retina of your eye. You then see this diffraction pattern superimposed on your view of the illuminated diffraction scale. The geometry is therefore slightly more complicated than it would be if the pattern were projected onto a screen, as in most textbook examples. (A very strong light source, such as a laser, is required in order to project a sharp image of a diffraction pattern onto a screen.)

The essential geometry of the experiment is shown in Figure 9.2. At the zeroth maxima, light rays from slits A and B have traveled the same distance from the slits to your eye, so they are in phase and interfere constructively on your retina. At the first order maxima (to the left of the viewer) light from slit B has traveled one wavelength farther than light from slit A, so the rays are again in phase, and constructive interference occurs at this position as well.

At the nth order maxima, the light from slit B has traveled n wavelengths farther than the light from slit A, so again, constructive interference occurs. In the diagram, the line AC is constructed perpendicular to the line PB. Since the slits are very close together (in the experiment, not the diagram), lines AP and BP are nearly parallel. Therefore, to a very close approximation,  $AP = CP$ . This means that, for constructive interference to occur at P, it must be true that  $BC = n\lambda$ .

From right triangle ACB, it can be seen that  $BC = AB \sin \theta$ , where A is the distance between the two slits on the Diffraction Plate. Therefore, AB sin  $\theta = n\lambda$ . (The spacing between the slits, AB, is listed in the Equipment section of this manual.) Therefore, you need only measure the value of  $\theta$ for a particular value of n to determine the wavelength of light.

To measure  $\theta$ , notice that the dotted lines in the illustration show a projection of the interference pattern onto the Diffraction Scale (as it appears when looking through the slits). Notice that  $\theta'$  = arctan X/L. It can also be shown from the diagram that, if BP is parallel to AP as we have already assumed, then  $\theta' = \theta$ . Therefore,  $\theta = \arctan X/L$ ; and AB sin (arctan X/L) =  $n\lambda$ .

Looking through the pair of slits (pattern D) at the Light Source filament, make measurements to fill in Table 9.1. Alternately place the Red, Green, and Blue color filters over the Light Source aperture to make the measurements for the different colors of light. If you have time, make measurements with the other two-slit patterns as well (patterns E and F on the Diffraction Plate). Perform the calculations shown to determine the wavelength of Red, Green, and Blue Light.

#### **Additional Questions**

- $\Phi$  Assume, in the diagram showing the geometry of the experiment, that AP and BP are parallel. Show that  $\theta = \theta'$ .
- Suppose the space between the slits was smaller than the wavelength of light you were trying to measure. How many orders of maxima would you expect to see?





#### **Introduction**

**Figure 10.1 Polarization of Light**

Light is a transverse wave; that is, the electromagnetic disturbances that compose light occur in a direction perpendicular to the direction of propagation (see Figure lO.la). Polarization, for light, refers to the orientation of the electric field in the electromagnetic disturbance. The magnetic field is always perpendicular to the electric field. Figure 10.1b and 10.1c show vertical and horizontal polarization, respectively. Figure 10.1d depicts random polarization, which occurs when the direction of polarization changes rapidly with time, as it does in the light from most incandescent light sources.

Your optics equipment includes two Polarizers, which transmit only light that is plane polarized along the plane defined by the 0 and 180 degree marks on the Polarizer scales. Light that is polarized along any other plane is absorbed by the polaroid material. Therefore, if randomly polarized light enters the Polarizer, the light that passes through is plane polarized. In this experiment, you will use the Polarizers to investigate the phenomena of polarized light.







**Figure 10.3 Equipment Setup Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 10.2. Turn the Light Source on and view the Crossed Arrow Target with both Polarizers removed. Replace Polarizer A on the Component Holder. Rotate the Polarizer while viewing the target.

- $\odot$  Does the target seem as bright when looking through the Polarizer as when looking directly at the target? Why? \_
- ® Is the light from the Light Source plane polarized? How can you tell? \_

Align Polarizer A so it transmits only vertically polarized light. Replace Polarizer B on the other Component Holder. Looking through both polarizers, rotate Polarizer B.

® For what angles of Polarizer B is a maximum oflight transmitted? For what angles is a minimum of light transmitted?

#### **Polarization by Reflection: Brewster's Angle**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 10.3. Adjust the components so a single ray of light passes through the center of the Ray Table. Notice the rays that are produced as the incident ray is reflected and refracted at the flat surface of the Cylindrical Lens. (The room must be reasonably dark to see the reflected ray.)

Rotate the Ray Table until the angle between the reflected and refracted rays is *90°.* Arrange the Ray Table Component Holder so it is in line with the reflected ray. Look through the Polarizer at the filament of the light source (as seen reflected from the Cylindrical Lens), and rotate the Polarizer slowly through all angles.

 $\odot$  Is the reflected light plane polarized? If so, at what angle from the vertical is the plane of polarization? \_

Observe the reflected image for other angles of reflection.

® Is the light plane polarized when the reflected ray is not at an angle of *90°* with respect to the refracted ray? Explain. \_



## **Experiment 11: Image Formation from Cylindrical Mirrors <sup>I</sup>**



Figure 11.1 Equipment Setup

#### Introduction

Ray tracing techniques can be used to locate the image formed by reflection from any mirror of known shape. Simply think of the object as a collection of point sources of light. For a given point source, light rays diverging from it are reflected from the mirror according to the Law of Reflection. Ifthe reflected rays intersect at a point, a real image is formed at that point. If the reflected rays do not intersect, but would if they were extended back beyond the mirror, a virtual image is formed which appears to be located at the point where the extended rays cross.

In this experiment, you will use the Ray Table to study the properties of image formation from cylindrical surfaces. The properties you will observe have important analogs in image formation from spherical mirrors.

#### Procedure

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 11.1. Position the Ray Optics Mirror on the Ray Table so the rays are all reflected from the concave surface of the mirror.

#### Focal Point

Adjust the position of the Parallel Ray Lens to obtain parallel rays on the Ray Table. Adjust the mirror on the Ray Table so the incident rays are parallel to the optical axis of the mirror.

(j) Measnre *FL.,* the focal length ofthe concave cylindrical mirror.

#### $F.L. =$

® Use ray tracing techniques to measure the focal length of the convex cylindrical mirror. (Check your textbook if you have doubts about the sign conventions.)

```
F.L. =
```
Position the Light Source and the Parallel Ray Lens so the rays cross at a point on the Ray Table, as shown in Figure 11.2a. (A blank, white sheet of paper placed over the Ray Table will help to see the rays.) Since rays diverge from this point of intersection, it can be used as an object.



Figure 11.2 Virtual Object



Place the convex side of the Ray Optics Mirrorso that its focal point is coincident with the point where the rays cross, as in Figure 11.2b. Of course, with the mirror in this position, the rays are reflected and don't actually cross. The point where the rays did cross, though, can be used as a virtual object.

® Describe the reflected rays when a virtual object is positioned at the focal point ofthe convex mirror.

#### **Image Location**

Remove the Parallel Ray Lens. Slide the Slit Plate, Ray Table, and mirror along the Optics Bench, as far as possible from the Light Source. Orient the mirror as in Figure 11.1.

- $\Phi$  Where is the image of the light bulb filament formed?
- ® How is image location affected as you move the mirror closer to the filament? \_
- ® Is an image still formed when the distance between the filament and mirror is less than the focal length of the mirror? If so, what kind?  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$
- @ Using the convex side of the mirror, can you obtain a real image of the Light Source filament? Ifso, how?

#### **Magnification and Inversion**

In the plane of the Ray Table, the filament of the Light Source acts as a point source. To observe magnification and inversion, an extended source is needed. As shown in Figure 11.3, two positions of the Light Source filament can be used to define an imaginary arrow, of height  $\bm{{\mathsf h}}_{_{\bm{\mathsf G}}}$ .

Position the filament of the Light Source first at the tail of the imaginary arrow, then at the tip. For each position, locate the image. The magnification is determined by dividing  $h_i$ , the height of the image arrow, by  $h_j$ , the height of the object arrow.

Measure the magnification for several different distances between the light source and the mirror.

- CD Qualitatively, how does the degree of magnification depend on the distance between the object and the mirror?
- ® Is the image inverted? Does image inversion depend on object location? \_

#### **Cylindrical Aberration**

Cylindrical aberration is the distortion of the reflected image caused by imperfect focusing of the reflected rays. Place a blank sheet of paper over the Ray Table. Arrange the equipment so all the light rays are reflected from the concave surface of the mirror. Block all but two rays and mark the point of intersection. Do this for several pairs of rays.

- $\Phi$  Are all the rays focused at precisely the same point?
- ® How would you alter the shape of the cylindrical lens to reduce the amount of cylindrical aberration?



**Figure 11.3 Magnification and Inversion**



## **Experiment 12: Image Formation from Spherical Mirrorsl**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench, Light Source -50 mm F. L. Spherical Mirror -Crossed Arrow Target.

-Component Holder (3) -Viewing Screen



#### Figure 12.1 Equipment Setup

#### **Introduction**

If you cut a thin strip along any diameter of a spherical mirror, the result is a close approximation to a thin cylindrical mirror. With this in mind, it's not surprising that images formed with spherical mirrors exhibit many of the same properties as those formed with cylindrical mirrors. In this experiment, you will investigate some of these properties.

#### **Procedure**

#### Focal Length

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 12.l, with the concave side of the mirror facing the Light Source. The Viewing Screen should cover only half the hole in the Component Holder so that light from the filament reaches the mirror.

To verify the focal length of the mirror, position the mirror on the optical bench as far from the Crossed Arrow Target as possible. Vary the position of the Viewing Screen to find where the image of the target is focused.

- $I$  What is your measured focal length for the concave spherical mirror?
	- $FL =$

<sup>2</sup> How might you determine the focal length more accurately?

#### Image Location, Magnification, and Inversion

In Experiment 7, you tested the validity of the Fundamental Lens Equation:  $1/d_a + 1/d_i = 1/f$ , for which the magnification of the image is given by the equation:  $m = -d/d$ .

In this experiment you will test the validity of this same equation for image formation in a spherical mirror.

Set the distance between the concave mirror and the Crossed Anow Target to the values shown in Table l2.1. At each position, place the Viewing Screen so the image of the target is in sharp focus. Use your data to fill in Table 12.1. Perform the calculations shown in the table to determine if the Fundamental Lens Equation is also valid for real images formed from a spherical **mirror.**

IIi Are your results in complete agreement with the Fundamental Lens Equation? If not, to what do you attribute the discrepancies?



#### Ot2-02744H



In the previous part of this experiment, you tested the Fundamental Lens Equation only for the concave mirror, and only for those cases in which a real image was focused between the object and the mirror. However, when an object is placed between a concave minor and its focal point, a virtual image is formed. Virtual images can also be formed using a convex spherical mirror.

In the Appendix of this manual, read the section titled "Locating Virtual Images". Construct a table similar to Table 12.1 and use the Image Locators to collect your data. Remember, for a virtual image, d. is negative.



Table 12.1

 $\odot$  Are your results compatible with the Fundamental Lens Equation? If not, to what do you attribute the difference? \_

Repeat the procedure with the convex side of the Spherical Mirror.

@ Does the Fundamental Leus Equation hold for images formed by convex spherical minors?

#### Spherical Aberration

Adjust the position ofthe Light Source and Crossed Arrow Target so the image of the target on the screen is reasonably large and as sharp as possible.

 $\odot$  Is the focus of the image sharpest at its center or at its edges? (This is a subtle effect which is easier to observe in a darkened room.)

Place the Variable Aperture on the Component Holder as shown in Figure 12.2. The bottom of the V formed by the Aperture plates should be aligned with the notch in the top of the Component Holder.

- @ Vary the size ofthe aperture. How does this affect the focus of the image? \_
- $\circled{B}$  Explain your observations in terms of spherical aberration. \_

® What aperture size would give the best possible focus of the image? Why is this size aperture impractical?



Figure 12.2 Using the Variable Aperture



## **Experiment 13: Image Formation with Cylindrical Lenses**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench -Ray Table and Base -Slit Plate -Parallel Ray Lens

-Light Source -Component Holder (2) -Cylindrical Lens



**Figure 13.1 Equipment Setup**

You have investigated image formation through reflection. The principles at work in image formation through refraction are analogous. Similar ray tracing techniques can be used to determine the form and location of the image. The important differences are  $(1)$  the Law of Refraction replaces the Law of Reflection in determining the change in direction of the incident rays; and  $(2)$  the bending of the rays takes place at two surfaces, since the light passes into and then out of the lens.

In this experiment, you will use the Ray Table to study the properties of image formation with cylindrical lenses. The properties you will observe have important analogs in image formation with spherical lenses.

#### **Procedure**

**Introduction**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 13.1. Position the Cylindrical Lens on the Ray Table so the rays are all incident on the flat surface of the lens.

#### **Focal Point**

Adjust the position of the Parallel Ray Lens to obtain parallel rays on the Ray Table. Adjust the Cylindrical Lens so its flat surface is perpendicular to the incident rays and so the central ray passes through the lens undeflected.

 $\Phi$  Measure F.L.<sub>1</sub> and F.L.<sub>2</sub>. (see Figure 13.1).

FL., = \_ FL.2=-------------

Remove the Parallel Ray Lens and Component Holder. Remove the Slit Mask from its Component Holder. Set the Holder aside and replace the SlitMask on the front of the Light Source. Move the Ray Table and Base close enough to the Light Source so the filament of the Light Source is a distance  $f_1$  from the curved side of the Cylindrical Lens

- 2 Describe the refracted rays.
- ® Tum the Cylindrical Lens around and place it on the Ray Table so that its straight side is a distance f <sup>2</sup> from the filaments (you may need to move the Ray Table and Base closer to the Light Source). Describe the refracted rays.



® Why is one focal length shorter than the other? (Hint: consider the refraction of the light rays at both surfaces of the lens.)

#### Image Location

Remove the Slit Mask from the front of the Light Source. Move the Ray Table and Base so it is as far from the Light Source as possible. Set the Cylindrical Lens on the Ray Table with the straight side toward the Light Source.

- $\circled{1}$  Where is the image formed?
- ® What happens to the location ofthe image as you move the Light Source closer?
- @ Is an image still formed when the Light Source is closer than the focal length of the lens? Ifso, what kind?

#### Magnification and Inversion

In the plane of the Ray Table, the filament of the Light Source acts as a point source. To observe magnification and inversion, an extended source is needed. As shown below, two positions of the Light Source filament can be used to define an imaginary arrow, of height h,

Position the filament of the Light Source first at the tail of the imaginary arrow, then at the tip. At each position, locate the image of the filament. The height of the image arrow, h<sub>i</sub>, divided by the height of the object arrow,  $h_{0}$ , is the magnification of the image.

Measure the magnification for several different distances between the Light Source and the lens.

- $\odot$  Qualitatively, how does the degree of magnification depend on the distance between the object and the lens?
- ® Is the image inverted? Is it inverted for all object loea· tions? \_

#### Cylindrical Aberration

Cylindrical aberration is the distortion of the image caused by imperfect focusing of the refracted rays. Place a blank sheet of paper over the Ray Table. Arrange the equipment as in Figure 13.1 so all the light rays are refracted by the Cylindrical Lens. Use the Slit Mask to block all but two rays. Do this for several pairs of rays.

- (j) Are all the rays focused at precisely the same point? \_
- ® How would you alterthe shape ofthe lens to reduce the amount of cylindrical aberration?



#### Figure 13.2 Magnification and Inversion

(

## **Experiment 14: Spherical Lenses-Spherical and Chromatic Aberration, Aperlure Size, and Depth ofField**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench -75 mm Focal Length Convex Lens -Crossed Arrow Target -Component Holders (3)

-Light Source -Variable Aperture -Viewing Screen



**Figure 14.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

No matter how perfectly a spherical lens is formed, there will always be some degree of image distortion. One source of distortion, spherical aberration, could be eliminated by changing the shape ofthe lens (from spherical to paraboloid). As you will see in this experiment, however, there are simpler ways of reducing, though not eliminating, spherical aberration.

Chromatic aberration arises because lens materials have slightly different indexes ofrefraction for different colors (wavelengths) of light. Because of this, incident white light is separated by a lens into its constituent colors, and different colored images are formed at slightly different locations. Chromatic aberration can be corrected only with the use of compound lenses in which two or more lenses of different material and shape are combined.

#### **Procedure**

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 14.1. Begin with the Variable Aperture fully open. Vary the distance between the Lens and Viewing Screen until an image of the Crossed Arrow Target is focused on the screen.

#### Spherical **Aberration**

Slowly close the Variable Aperture. Be sure that the V formed by the two aperture plates remains centered on the notch at the top of the Component Holder. Observe the image of the Crossed Arrow Target on the screen.

- $\Phi$  How is the focus of the image effected by the size of the aperture?
- ® What size aperture would give the best possible image focus? Why is this aperture size not practical? \_



 $\tilde{a}$ 



#### **Depth of Field**

#### **Figure 14.2 Depth of Field**

In addition to spherical aberration, aperture size has an important effect on another variable of image focusing; depth of field. Depth of field is a measure of how much the distance between the lens and screen can be varied while still retaining a well focused image (see Figure 14.2).

To investigate this phenomenon, begin with the Variable Aperture fully open. Measure the depth of field. Now vary the size of the aperture, measuring the depth of field for each size.

 $\circled{1}$  How does depth of field depend on aperture size?

(g) Why is it not possible to have a depth offield that is infinitely long? \_

With the aperture size very small (less than 1 mm), remove the lens from the Component Holder.

- ® Is an image of the Crossed Arrow Target still visible on the screen? \_
- ® How do variations in the size of the aperture affect the focus of the image? \_
- @ How does varying the distance between the Variable Apertnre and the Viewing Screen affect the magnification of the image? \_
- ® Why does a very small aperture allow formation of an image without using the lens? (Hint: consider the role played by the lens in focusing the diverging rays from a point object.)

#### **Chromatic** Aberration

Replace the lens and remove the Crossed Arrow Target from the Light Source. Using a small aperture size (2-3 mm), focus the filament of the Light Source onto the screen. Slide the aperture plates slowly to one side, away from the optical axis of the lens, as shown below. Do not change the size of the aperture. Notice the color separation in the image of the filament as the aperture gets sufficiently far from the optical axis of the lens.

 $\Phi$  Why is chromatic aberration more apparent when the aperture is far from the optical axis of the lens?







(

## **Experiment 15: The Diffraction Grating**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**





#### **Introduction**

**Figure 15.1 Equipment Setup**

Diffraction gratings are used to make very accurate measurements of the wavelength of light. In theory, they function much the same as two slit apertures (see Experiment 9). However, a diffraction grating has many slits, rather than two, and the slits are very closely spaced. By using closely spaced slits, the light is diffracted to large angles, and measurements can be made more accurately. In spreading out the available light to large angles, however, brightness is lost. By using many slits, many sources of light are provided, and brightness is preserved.

In this experiment you will use a diffraction grating to determine the range of wavelengths for each of the colors in the visible spectrum.

#### **Procedure**

Arrange the equipment as shown in Figure 15.1. When looking through the Diffraction Plate window, the filament of the Light Source must be directly visible through the slot in the Diffraction Plate. Look through each of the double slit patterns (Patterns  $D$ , E, and  $F$ ) of the Diffraction Plate at the filament of the Light Source. Qualitatively, compare the spacing of the interference maxima for the different patterns.

**>NOTE:** You may find that a blue/green color filter placed behind the Slit Mask will make it easier to distinguish the details of the diffraction patterns.

- $\odot$  How does the spacing of the maxima relate to the spacing of the slits on the Diffraction Plate (compare patterns of equal slit width, but different slit spacing)?
- ® Look through the lO-slit pattern (Pattern G) at the filament. What effect does the larger number of slits have on the diffraction pattern? .





Figure 15.2 Measurements with the Diffraction Grating

Remove the Diffraction Plate and the Slit Mask and replace them with the Diffraction Grating. Look through the grating and observe the first order spectrum.

~NOTE: When looking through the Diffraction Grating avert your eye from looking straight at the filament. Instead, look at a position on the Diffraction Scale about 4 to 5 em to the right or left of the slit in the scale.

Using Figure 15.2 to identify the variables, fiII in the data in Table 15.1. Review Experiment 9, if necessary, to determine the calculations needed to calculate  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , the <u>range</u> of wavelengths corresponding to each particular color of light.

Compare your results with those of other students, or with textbook values.

- CD Are your results in complete agreement? Can you account for any discrepancies?
- $\circledR$  What advantages are there in using wavelength rather than color to characterize visible light?



## **Experiment 16: Single Slit Diffraction**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optical Bench -Ray Table Base -Component Holder -Slit Mask

- -Light Source
- -Diffraction Scale
- -Diffraction Plate
- -Color Filters (Red, Green, Blue/Green). Perform in a well lighted room.



**Figure 16.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

 $\mathfrak{g}$ 

**If** you look closely at a two slit interference pattern, you will notice that the intensity of the fringes varies. This variation in intensity forms an interference pattern of its own that is independent of the number ofslits or the separation between the slits. **In** fact, two slits are not required to see this pattern; it can be seen most clearly when light passes through a single, narrow slit.

**In** this experiment you will compare the single slit diffraction pattern with the double slit pattern, and then use the single slit pattern to measure the wavelengths of red, green, and blue light.

#### **Procedure**

Setup the equipment as shown in Figure 16.1. Look through each of the three single slit apertures in the Diffraction Plate (Patterns A, B, and C). Examine the diffraction patterns with and without color filters over the aperture of the Light Source.

(j) How does the spacing between fringes vary with the width of the slit? \_

Compare the single slit patterns with the double slit patterns.

@ How does a double slit interference pattern differ from a single slit pattern? (Compare patterns of equal slit widths, such as  $A$  vs  $D$ , or  $B$  vs  $E$ .)



 $\left\langle \right\rangle$ 



**Figure 16.2 Geometry of Smgle Slit Diffraction**

The single slit pattern can be explained using Huygen's theory. When a plane wave front strikes the slit, each point on the slit acts as a point source of light. Figure 16.2 shows a point P, far from the slit, where the distance  $AP = BP + \lambda$ . Since light from point A travels one wavelength farther than light from point **B,** the light from these two points is in phase at point P. But light reaching point P from the points in between A and B will vary in phase through a full 360°. For any point from which light reaches point P at a particular phase, there will be a point from which light arrives in the exact opposite phase. Because of this, there is complete cancellation at point P, and a minima (dark fringe) will be seen at that point.

In the Figure, point  $P$  is at an angle q from the center of the slit. We make the assumption that point P is far enough away such that AP and BP are very nearly parallel (this is true in reality, if not in the diagram). As shown in the diagram, angle  $ABC = \theta$ , also. Therefore W  $\sin \theta = \lambda$ ; where W is the width of the slit (AB). A similar argument can be used to show that a minima will be found at any angle such that W sin  $\theta = n\lambda$ , where n is any integer.

Review the two slit interference experiment. Notice the similarity between the equations for single and double slit patterns. To measure the wavelength of light, use the same techniques you used in the two slit experiment ( $\theta$  = arctan X/L). When measuring the distance to the minima (x) for each color, place the Color Filter on the front of the Light Source. Use your data to fill in Table 16.1, then perform the calculations shown to determine the wavelength of Red, Green, and Blue Light.

@ If the width of the slit, W, were less than the wavelength of the light being used, how many maxima would you expect to see in the single slit diffraction pattern? Why?





!  $\frac{1}{1}$ 

## **Experiment 17: General Diffraction**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench -Component Holders (2) -Diffraction Plate -Color Filter (any color) -pin.

- -Light Source
- -Variable Aperture
- -Slit Mask
- -Black Construction Paper



**Figure 17.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

The simplest diffraction patterns are produced by narrow slits. However, any aperture, or collection of apertures, will produce a diffraction pattern ifthe dimensions of the apertures are of the same order of magnitude as the wavelenglh of visible light.

The diffraction pattern created by a particular aperture can be determined quantitatively using Huygen's principle. Simply treat each aperture as a collection of point sources of light (small, closely packed points will give the best approximation of the diffraction pattern). At any position on your viewing screen, detennine the phase of Ihe light contributed by each point on the aperture. Finally, use the superposition principle to sum the contributions from all the points on the aperture.

Of course, you must perform this same calculation for each point on your viewing screen to determine the complete diffraction pattern-a time consuming task. In this experiment the approach will be more qualitative. You will use your knowledge of diffraction patterns formed by slits to understand the patterns formed by more complicated apertures.

#### **Procedure**

Setup the equipment as shown in Figure 17.1. Begin with the Variable Aperture fully open. Looking through the Diffraction Plate at the Light Source filament, examine the diffraction patterns fonned by Patterns H, I, and J.

While looking through Pattern H, slowly close the Variable Aperture. Repeat this with Patterns I andJ.

- (j) What effect does aperture size have on Ihe clarity of the diffraction patterns?
- ® What affect does aperture size have on Ihe brightness of the diffraction patterns?
	- Adjust the Variable Aperture to maximize the brightness and clarity of the pattern. Place a color filter over the Light Source Aperture.
- ® In what way does the color filter simplify the diffraction patterns that are formed?



#### **Crossed Slits**

Examine the diffraction pattern formed by aperture H, the crossed slits. As you watch the pattern, slowly rotate the Diffraction Plate so first one slit is vertical, then the other.

 $\Phi$  Describe the diffraction pattern in terms of the patterns formed by each individual slit.

#### **Random Array of Circular Apertures**

Examine the diffraction pattern formed by aperture I, the random array of circular apertures. The pattern is similar to that formed by diffraction through a single circular aperture. To verify this, use a pin to poke a small hole in a piece of black construction paper. Look at the Light Source filament through this hole. In the pattern formed by the random array, the patterns from all the circular apertures are superposed, so the combined diffraction pattern is brighter.

In the random array, smaller circles are used than you can produce with a pin.

 $\Phi$  What effect does the smaller diameter of the circles have on the diffraction pattern?

In observing single slit diffraction, you found that the narrower the slit, the greater the separation between the fringes in the diffraction pattern. This is generally true. For any aperture, diffraction effects are most pronounced in a direction parallel with the smallest dimension of the aperture.

@ Use the above generalization to explain the symmetry of the diffraction pattern formed by a circular aperture.

#### **Square Array of Circular Apertures**

Examine the diffraction pattern formed by aperture J, the square array of circular apertures.

- $\Phi$  How is this pattern similar to that formed by the random array? How is it different? Each circular aperture in the array forms a circular diffraction pattern with maxima and minima appearing at different radii. However, the regularity ofthe array causes there to be interference between the patterns formed by the individual circles. This is analogous to the way in which the double slit interference pattern creates maxima and minima that are superimposed on the single slit patterns created by the individual slits.
- @ On a separate sheet of paper, draw the diffraction pattern you would expect if there were no interference between the patterns from the different holes (as in the random array). Clearly indicate the maxima and the minima.
- @ To understand the interference that takes place, consider the array of points as if it were actually a collection of parallel slits, such as those shown in Figures 17.2a, b, and c. Draw the diffraction patterns that would be created by each of these collections of parallel slits. Clearly label the maxima and minima.
- ® Your drawing from step 2 shows where the light is diffracted to from each individual circular aperture. To approximate the effect of interference between circular apertures, superimpose a copy of

one of your interference patterns from step 3 over your drawing from step 2. Only where maxima overlap, will there be maxima in the combined pattern. Repeat this procedure for each of your interference drawings.







## **Experiment 18: Introduction to Optical Instruments**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-none

#### **Introduction**

The design of high quality optical instruments can be quite complex, involving compound lenses and intricate lens coatings. But the complexity arises primarily from the need to reduce the effects ofspherical and chromatic aberration (see Experiment 14). Understanding the basic principles of standard optical instruments is not complex. It requires only an understanding of the Fundamental Lens Equation:

 $1/d_{\rm g} + 1/d_{\rm g} = 1/f$ ;

for which the magnification of the image is given by the equation:

 $m = -d/d$ .

In Experiments 19-22, you will use the above equations to investigate the workings of a Projector, a Magnifier, a Telescope, and a Compound Microscope. Before beginning, however, it is useful to understand certain generalities that can be made with regard to these equations.

#### **Procedure**

 $\mathfrak l$ 

Use the Fundamental Lens Equation to complete Table 18.1, on the following page. Show the location (d.), and magnification (m) of the image, and whether the image is real or virtual, inverted or uninverted. Notice that  $d_i$  is given in units of f. Your calculated value for  $d_i$  will therefore also be in units of f.

Mter completing the table, use it to answer the following questions. In each question, assume that  $f > 0$  (as for a converging lens). A negative value for  $d$ , indicates that the image is virtual.

 $\circled{1}$  For what range of d values is the image virtual and magnified?

```
^{\circ} d f
(Remember: \mathbf{d} = \frac{d\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}}{d\mathbf{d}})
                                    ^{\prime} d<sub>o</sub>- f
```
 $\circled{2}$  For what range of d<sub>o</sub> values is the image real and magnified?  $\sim$ 

 $\circled{2}$  For what range of d<sub>o</sub> values is the image real and reduced in size?  $\frac{1}{\cdot}$ 

<sup>4</sup> For what range of d<sub>o</sub> values can the image be focused onto a viewing screen? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_



 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ /

J.

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ 

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

 $\bar{z}$  $\pm$ 

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)$ 



### **Table 18.1: Objectllmage Relationships**



J.

**II**

## **Experiment 19: The Projector**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

- -Optics Bench
- -75 mm Focal Length Convex Lens
- -Variable Aperture
- -Viewing Screen
- -Light Source -150 mm Focal Length Convex Lens -Crossed Arrow Target
- -Component Holders (3).



**Figure 19.1 Equipment Setup**

#### **Introduction**

When an object is located between the focal point  $(f)$  and twice the focal point  $(2f)$  of a converging lens, a real, inverted, magnified image is formed as shown in the diagram of Figure 19.1. If a viewing screen is placed at the location of the image, the image will be focused onto the screen. In this case the lens functions as a projector.

#### **Procedure**

Set up a projector as shown in Figure 19.1. Try both the 75 and 150 mm converging lenses.

- $\Phi$  What happens to the image if  $d_0$  is less than f? Can the image still be focused onto the Viewing Screen? Why or why not? \_
- $\circledR$  What happens to the image if d<sub>o</sub> is greater than 2f? Can the image now be focused onto the View-<br>ing Screen? Why or why not?

® Are there practical limits to the degree of magnification ofthe image? Ifso, what are they?

® Is it possible, using a single lens, to project an image that is uninverted? \_

@ Can the image formed by a projector be viewed without using a viewing screen? Ifso, where must the observer be? \_



II

 $\langle$ 

## II **Notes**



 $\begin{bmatrix} I_1 & & & \\ & I_2 & & \\ & & I_3 & \\ & & & I_4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

### **Experiment 20: The Magnifier**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

- -Optics Bench
- -150 mm Focal Length Convex Lens
- -Component Holders (2)

-75 mm Focal Length Convex Lens -Viewing Screen



**Figure 20.1 The Magnifier**

#### **Introduction**

When an object is located between a converging lens and its focal point, a virtual, magnified, uninverted image is formed. Since the image is not real, it can not be focused onto a screen. However, it can be viewed directly by an observer.

#### **Procedure**

Set up a magnifier as shown in Figure 20.1 First try it with the 75 mm focal length lens, and then with the 150 mm focal length lens. For each lens, adjust the distance between the object (the Viewing Screen) and the lens so the magnification is a maximum and the image is clearly focused.

Examine Table 18.1 from Experiment 18.

 $\Phi$  Does the Fundamental Lens Equation place any limit on the magnification, m, that a lens can produce? \_

@ Looking through the lenses, which lens seems to provide the greater magnification?



**Figure 20.2 Angular Magnification**

Using each of the lenses as a magnifier, it should be clear that the magnification provided by a converging lens is not unlimited. This does not mean that the equation  $m = -d/d$  is in error. This equation does give the correct ratio between the image size and the object size. However, image size is not the only important variable in determining the magnification of an optical system, such as a magnifier. Equally important is the distance between the observer and the image he is looking at. Just as a distant object appears smaller than the same object up close, an image viewed through an optical system appears larger if the image is close than if it is farther away.

Figure 20.2 shows an object of height  $h_{\alpha}$ , a distance  $d_{\alpha}$  from the observer. The size of the image on the retina of the observer is proportional to the angle  $\theta_{\text{eye}}$ . For small angles, (the only angles for which the Fundamental Lens Equation holds),  $\theta_{\text{eye}} = h/d$ .

There is an important limitation to the magnitude of  $\theta_{\text{evo}}$ . To see this, hold an object at arms length and move it slowly toward your eye (with one eye closed). There is a distance--called the near point—at which the image begins to blur, because the rays entering your eye from the object are too divergent for your eye to focus. The near point differs for different people, but the average is approximately 25 cm. Therefore  $\theta_{\text{cyc-max}} = h_0/25$  cm, where  $\theta_{\text{cyc-max}}$ is the maximum value of  $\theta_{\text{max}}$  for which the eye can focus an image.

When using a magnifier, or any optical system for that matter, the apparent size of the image depends on the size and location of the image rather than on the size and location of the object, so that  $\theta_{\text{max}}$ , the angular magnification for the magnifier, is equal to  $h/d$ . From the Fundamental Lens Equation  $h_i = mh_i = (-d/d_i) h_i$ . Therefore, ignoring the minus sign,  $\theta_{\text{mag}} = h_{\text{o}} / d_{\text{o}}$ , the same as without the magnifier.

This result seems to imply that a magnifier doesn't produce any magnification. However, using a magnifier, the object can be brought closer to the eye than the near point, and yet still be focused by the eye. If the object is placed at the focal point of the magnifier for example, the equation  $\theta_{\text{max}} = h/d$  becomes  $\theta_{\text{max}} = h/d$ . Therefore, the magnifying power of a magnifier is afunction of how much closer It allows the observer to be to the object. This, in tum, is a function of the focal length of the magnifying lens.

The magnifying power of a lens (called the angular magnification) is calculated as  $\theta_{\text{max}}/\theta_{\text{even}} = 25 \text{ cm/f}.$ 

- ® Calculate the angnlar magnification for the 75 mm and 150 mm focal length lenses. Are your calculated magnifications consistent with your answer to question I? \_
- ® Would a converging lens with a 50 cm focal length be useful as a magnifier? Why or why not?  $\blacksquare$



**II**

## **Experiment 21: The Telescope**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

-Optics Bench

-ISO mm Focal Length Convex Lens

-75 mm Focal Length Convex Lens -Component Holders (2)



**Figure 21.1 The Telescope**

#### **Introduction**

Telescopes are used to obtain magnified images of distant objects. As you can see by looking at Table 18.1 from Experiment 18, the image of a distant object when viewed through a single converging lens will be focused nearly at the focal point of the lens. This image will be real, inverted, and reduced in size. In fact, the greater the distance of the object (with respect to  $f$ ), the smaller the size of the image.

However, this reduced image is useful. By viewing this image through a second converging lens—used as a magnifier—an enlarged image can be seen.



**Figure 21.2 Telescope Magnification**



 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 

Figure 21.1 shows the setup for a simple telescope. The objective lens,  $L_1$ , creates a real, inverted image. (You can barely see this image in the diagram. It's very small, just inside the focal point of lens  $L_{\gamma}$ .) If the object is sufficiently far away, this image will be located approximately at  $f_1$ , the focal point of  $L_1$ . The eyepiece,  $L_2$ , then acts as a magnifier, creating a magnified, virtual image which can be viewed by the observer. For maximum magnification,  $L_2$  is positioned so the virtual image is just slightly closer than its focal point,  $f_2$ . Therefore, the distance between the objective lens and the eyepiece of a telescope, when viewing distant objects, is approximately  $f_1 + f_2$ .

The angular magnification (see Experiment 20: The Magnifier) for a telescope can be approximated by assuming the lenses are exactly  $f_1 + f_2$  apart, as shown in Figure 21.2. The height of the object as seen with the naked eye is proportional to the angle  $q_i$  in the lower diagram. Ifthe distance from the object to the telescope is large-much larger than is shown in the diagram—  $\theta_1 = \theta_1$ , to a good approximation. The ray shown in the upper diagram passes through the focal point of the objective lens, comes out parallel to the optical axis of the telescope, and is therefore refracted by the eyepiece through the focal point of the eyepiece. The angle  $\theta$ , is therefore proportional to h, the height of the image seen by the observer.

#### **Procedure**

 $\circled{1}$  Using Figure 21.2, calculate tan  $\theta_1$  and tan  $\theta_2$  as a function of the height of the image, h<sub>i</sub>, and the focal lengths of the two lenses,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

tan <sup>8</sup> =tan <sup>8</sup> '= . <sup>I</sup> <sup>I</sup> tan 8 2 = \_

Assume that  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are very small, and therefore equal to tan  $\theta_1$  and tan  $\theta_2$ , respectively.

® Calculate the angular magnification of the telescope.

Angular Magnification = 8/8 <sup>1</sup> = \_

Set up a telescope using the 75 mm and 150 mm focal length lenses; the distance between the lenses should be approximately 225 mm. Using the 75 mrn lens as the eyepiece, look at some reasonably distant object. Adjust the distance between the lenses as needed to bring the object into sharp focus.

To measure the magnification, look with one eye through the telescope, and with the other eye look directly at the object. Compare the size of the two images. (If a meter stick is used as the object, fairly accurate measurements of magnification can be made.)

- ® What is the magnification of the telescope when using the 75 mm lens as the eyepiece?
- ® What is the magnification ofthe telescope when using the 150 mrn lens as the eyepiece and the 75 mm lens as the objective lens?

® Are your answers to questions 3 and 4 in keeping with your answer to question 2?



## **Experiment 22: The Compound Microscope**

#### **EQUIPMENT NEEDED:**

- -Optics Bench, Light Source
- -150 mm Focal Length Convex Lens
- -Component Holders (2)
- -75 mm Focal Length Convex Lens
- -Variable Aperture
- -Viewing Screen



**Figure 22.1 The Compound Microscope**

#### **Introduction**

A compound microscope uses two lenses to provide greater magnification of near objects than is possible using a single lens as a magnifier. The setup is shown in Figure 22.1. The objective lens,  $L_1$ , functions as a projector. The object is placed just beyond the focal point of  $L_1$ so a real, magnified, inverted image is formed. The eyepiece,  $L<sub>2</sub>$ , functions as a magnifier. It forms an enlarged virtual image of the real image projected by  $L_1$ .

The real image that is projected by L<sub>i</sub> is magnified by an amount  $m = -d/d_0$ , as indicated by the Fundamental Lens Equation. That image is in tum magnified by the eyepiece by a factor of 25 cmlf (see Experiment 20: The Magnifier). The combined magnification is, therefore:

$$
M = (-d/d_0) (25 \text{ cm/f}).
$$

#### **Procedure**

Set up the microscope as shown in Figure 22.1. Use the 75 nnn focal length lens as the objective lens and the 150 mm focal length lens as the eyepiece. Begin with the objective lens approximately 150 mm away from the object (the Viewing Screen). Adjust the position ofthe eyepiece until you see a clearly focused image of the Viewing Screen scale.

 $\Phi$  Is the image magnified? How does the magnification compare to using the 75 mm focal length lens alone, as a simple magnifier?

While looking through the eyepiece, slowly move the objective lens closer to the Viewing Screen. Adjust the position of the eyepiece as needed to retain the best possible focus.



)

® Why does the magnification increase as the objective lens is moved closer to the object?

<u> 1980 - Jan Barnett, fransk politik (</u>

<u> 1980 - Jan James Alexandri, martin da shekara 1980 - Andrew Alexandri, mashrida a shekara 1980 - Andrew Alexa</u>

@ What focusing problems develop as the magnification increases?

Use the Variable Aperture to restrict the path of light to the central regions of the objective lens. Vary the size of the aperture and observe the effects on focusing.

> <u> Alexandria de la contrada de la c</u>

<u> 1980 - Johann Johnson, martin film (f. 19</u>

@) What effect does the aperture have on focusing?

 $\circledR$  What effect does the aperture have on the brightness of the image?

@ What advantage would there be in using a 75 mm focal length lens as the eyepiece?



/

## Appendix

#### **Locating Virtual Images**

Virtual images can be located using the Virtual Image Locators. The following procedure is for locating virtual images formed by a spherical mirror. The procedure for lenses is similar though, of course, both image locators are placed on the far side of the lens from the observer.

Set up the equipment as shown in Figure AI. Notice that the object must be between the mirror and its focal point, f, in order for a virtual image to be formed. Look through Locator A into the spherical mirror. Move your head from side to side, and notice how the image of the locator **arrow moves.**

Look through Locator A into the mirror, so your line of sight is at a slight angle from the optical axis of the mirror. The setup should look approximately as in Figure A2a. Align a straightedge with the image of the locator arrow as shown in Figure A2b. Now adjust the position ofLocator B until its arrow is aligned with the straightedge in your line of sight. Do this in two steps:

- (j) Move the Locator laterally (perpendicular to the optical axis of the bench) to remove half the distance between the straightedge and the arrow as shown in Figure A2b, then;
- ® Move the Locator longitudinally (sliding the Component Holder along the optical axis of the bench) to complete the alignment as in A2c.



**Figure A1 Setup for Locating** Virtual Images

Check to be sure that the image of the arrow of Locator A is aligned with the straightedge, and the straightedge is aligned with the arrow of Locator B.

Now move the straightedge to the other side of the arrow of Locator A and repeat the process. Continue changing the straightedge from side to side and aligning the locator arrows until the image of the arrow of Locator A and the





\

arrow of Locator B remain aligned in your line of sight as you move your head from side to side. Wheu this is the case, the arrow of Locator B is in the apparent position of the virtual image of the arrow of Locator A.

#### **Maintenance**

(j) If at any time the Light Source fails to come on, check the fuse mounted on the rear panel. If the fuse is unbroken, remove the top cover of the Light Source by removing the four screws as shown in Figure A3, and replace the light bulb. If problems persist, contact PASCO scientific.

>NOTE: The fuse and light bulb should be replaced only with the following replacement parts: Fuse: 0.5 Amp, Slow-Blow (part # 530-002) - Used on all earlier units. Light Bulb: #211-2 (Available at automotive stores, or from PASCO: part # 526-016.)

- ® To avoid scratching component surfaces, clean lenses and mirrors only with lens tissue-lens tissue can be purchased at any camera supply store.
- @ Care should be taken not to scratch or abuse the surface of the magnetic pads. Should the surfaces become dirty, use only soapy water or rubbing alcohol for cleaning. Other solvents may dissolve the magnetic surface.



#### Figure A3 Light Source



#### Replacement Parts

The following replacement parts can be ordered from PASCO scientific:



**II**

**II**

/

**II**

**II**

## **Teacher's Guide**

### **Exp 1: Introduction to Ray Optics**

#### **Straight-Line Propagation of Light**

The rays are straight, originating from the lamp filament. Because of this, they widen and become less distinct as the distance to the filament increases.

As the slit plate is rotated from vertical, the slit images become wider and less distinct. This is caused by the greater angle subtended by the filament on the slit.

## **Slits** aligned with **filament**  $\overline{\mathsf{I}}$ **Example 2014** Image width **Filament** Slits perpendicular to filament **Image width** Slit **Filament**

#### **Ray Tracing: Locating the Filament**

The measurements in steps I and 2 should agree very closely. (within a few millimeters)

### **Exp 2: The Law of Reflection**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

Make sure that the mirror is set up exactly on the "component" line. Any deviation will affect the accuracy of your results.



- $\circled{1}$  The two trials are essentially the same, with a slight deviation due to improper alignment of the mirror.
- @ The incident ray, reflected ray, and the normal are all on the ray table, which is a plane.
- @ The two are equal. (This experimental trial shows a slight deviation due to improper alignment of the mirror.)

#### **Answers to - Questions**

 $\circled{1}$  The angle of incidence equals the angle of reflection.

The incident ray, normal, and reflected ray are all in the same plane.

- @ It doubles any error, thus allowing us to see any error more accurately.
- @ (answers may vary)

### **Exp 3: Image Formation in a Plane Mirror**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- (j) The rays seem to follow straight lines into and out of the mirror. The ones coming out of the mirror seem to be coming from a second filament behind the mirror plane.
- @@ In the test setup used, the distance from the filament to the mirror plane was  $10.8$  cm. The distance from the image of the filament to the mirror plane was 10.3 cm.
- ® The image and object are equidistant from the mirror plane.

#### **Answers to - Questions**

 $\Phi$  The room will appear to be twice its actual size.



@ The sizes are the same.

### **IEXP 4: The Law of Refraction**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

(j)@ The ray is not bent either time it goes through the surface, as long as it goes through perpendicular to the surface.



 $\circled{}$  The results are roughly the same: slight differences are due to the lens not being centered exactly.





/ ® It is. The graph comes out completely linear, which means that there is a direct proportionality.

 $\Phi$  n = 1.50

#### **Answers to - Questions**

- $\Phi$  The beam tends to spread out more on the larger angles, due to the wider area of incidence on the flat side of the lens.
- ® Some was reflected. This reflected light could be used to verify that the lens was aligned correctly with the ray table by noting whether the angle of refraction was the same as the angle of incidence on the ray table.
- ® Ifthere is a systematic error, it is likely to be cancelled by measurements taken on opposite sides.

### **Exp 5: Reversibility**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

For best results, make sure that the cylindrical lens is aligned exactly with the ray table.



 $\mathbf{I}$ 





- $\circled{1}$ . The index of refraction is equal to the slope of the "Refraction 1" graph.  $n = 1.498$
- $\circled{2}$  The slope of data set 2 is 1/n. Thus, n = 1.501.
- ® Yes.
- ® Drawings will vary.
- @ Yes. The angle of incidence equals the angle of reflection regardless of which side the light is coming from.

### **Exp 6: Dispersion and** Total Internal **Reflection**

#### **Dispersion**

- CD) Color separation was first noted at about *40°,* although it may be noticeable before then depending on the light in the room.
- @ Maximum separation occurs at about *85°;* beyond that the violet is totally internally reflected.
- @ In order: (although not all colors may be resolvable depending on the room light) red, orange, yellow, green, cyan, blue, violet.
- ® With an incident angle of *40°,* the violet was at *76°* and the red was at *73°.*

 $n_{\text{red}} = 1.488$ 

 $n_{\text{violet}} = 1.510$ 

#### **Total Internal Reflection**

- $\Phi$  The reflection occurs mainly at the internal flat side of the lens.
- @)There is a reflected ray for all angles.
- @ The reflected ray is consistent with the law ofreflection.
- ® There is not a refracted ray for all angles of incidence. Beyond *43°,* the light is totally internally reflected.
- (§) The intensity of the reflected ray increases with the angle of incidence; the intensity of the refracted ray decreases.
- $\circled{b}$  All the light is reflected when the angle of refraction reaches *90°.* This occurs for an angle of incidence of about *43°.*

### **Exp 7: Converging Lens: Image and Object Relationships**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- CD The image magnification will depend on the relative placement of the lens. For any target-to-screen distance of more than four times the focal length, there will be two lens positions which will focus the image. One of these positions will enlarge the image, one will reduce it.
- @ The image will be inverted regardless of magnification.
- $\circled{1}$  Increasing d, will decrease d.
- $\Phi$  As d<sub>o</sub> goes to infinity, d<sub>i</sub> goes to the focal length of the lens.
- (§) Use the lens to focus an image of a very distant object on the screen  $(d_)$  should be greater than two meters preferably much longer.) Measure the image distance; it will be approximately equal to the focal length of the lens.



!

- ® There is nearly exact agreement The average error in focal length is 0.06%, the average error in magnification is 2.5%. Discrepancies are introduced due to uncertainty in the location of exact focus and uncertainty in measuring the size of the image.
- $\oslash$  The final two. If the object is located at the focal point of the lens, then the image will be located at infinity. This was the problem with the 75mm measurement: our optics bench was not long enough to handle this distance. If the object is located inside the focal length of the lens, then the image will be a virtual image on the same side of the lens as the object. Such an image can not be seen on a viewscreen: this was the problem with the 50mm measurement

#### **Answers to - Questions**

 $\overline{O}$  2f

- @ Yes, but it will be a virtual image instead of a real image, and it would be on the same side of the lens as the object.
- ® I would place the object at f. This would give me an infinitely large image an infinite distance from the lens.

### **IEXP 8: Light and Color**

#### **The colors of light**

- $\odot$  Yes. As you change the angle of the cylindrical lens, you can see the white light gradually break up into its component colors.
- @ The light where the three colors intersect is white. This supports Newton's theory by showing that white light is the combination of the other colors.

#### **The colors of objects**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Note: The best way to verify the colors of the rays is to look directly into the rays, rather than observing them on the ray table. You may dispense with the ray table entirely, if you wish.

- CD The transmitted rays are green. There are two sets of reflected rays: they reflect from the front and rear surfaces of the filter. The front-surface reflections are white, the rear-surface reflections are green.
- @ Now there are three sets ofreflections: one white and two green. The white comes from the front of the green filter, one green reflection comes from the back of the green filter, and one green reflection comes from the front of the red filter.
- ® The reflected rays are the same color as the incident light: blue, in this case. (The transmitted rays are a faint green.)
- ® The green filter appears green because it transmits green light. It reflects whatever color is incident on it, but transmits primarily green. When we look at it, we see mainly the transmitted light.

**Example 1** Another Note: The second portion of this lab may be slightly misleading. Most objects appear to be whatever color they are because they reflect that color, not because they transmit that color. The filters reflect all colors of incident light because they are highly polished. If they had a matte finish, then they would reflect mainly their own color.



)

### **Exp 9: Two-Slit Interference**





Actual values obtained will vary due to differences in eyesight, but they should at least be ordered with red longest and blue shortest.

#### **Answers to - Questions**

CD Here AP and BP are drawn parallel to each other.



 $a = a'$ , since the center line is parallel to AP. therefore,  $\theta = \theta'$ 

 $^{\circledR}$ 

$$
\frac{AB}{n}\sin\left(\tan^{-1}\frac{X}{L}\right) = \lambda
$$

$$
\sin\left(\tan^{-1}\frac{X}{L}\right) = \frac{\lambda n}{AB}
$$
  
but if  $AB < \lambda$  then

 $\frac{\lambda n}{AB} > 1$  (unless n = 0)

However,  $sin(x)$  1, so the equation is not valid unless  $n = 0$ . Therefore you would expect to see only the zeroth maxima.



**II**

(

### **Exp** 10: Polarization

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- $\odot$  The target is not as bright when you look through the polarizer. This is because half of the light is blocked: the half that is polarized in the wrong direction.
- <2J The light source is not polarized. There is no effect when the polarizer is rotated, so the light source must be randomly polarized.
- $\circled{2}$  With polarizer A set at  $0^{\circ}$ , there is a maximum when polarizer B is at 0° or 180°. The minimum occurs when B is at 90° or 270°. Note that the minimum is not zero: some light will still be transmitted due to the fact that the polarizers used do not completely polarize the violet or the deep red light.

#### **Brewster's Angle**

 $\Phi$  The reflected light is plane polarized at 90 $\degree$  from the vertical.

At other angles of reflection, the light is partially polarized; with the degree of polarization depending on the angle of incidence.

~Notes: It may be interesting to call attention to the effect of polarizers on digital watches. LCD displays work by having a polarizer just above a liquid crystal cell. When an electric field is applied to the liquid crystal, it polarizes light at 90° to the permanent polarizer, and blocks the light. This is what makes the black numbers seen on the display. If you look at a digital watch through a polarizer, it will be completely black at certain angles.

Another effect that can be tied into the investigation of Brewster's angle is reflection off water. Such a reflection will be partially polarized, as in section 2 of the Brewster's angle part of the lab. Polarized sunglasses make use of this; they are polarized in the opposite direction to cut glare.

### **Exp 11: Image Formation from Cylindrical Mirrors**

#### **Focal Point**

- $O$  58 mm
- $(2)$  59 mm
- @ The reflected rays will be parallel.

#### **Image Location**

- CD 65 mm from the mirror
- <2J As the mirror moves closer to the filament, the image distance lengthens.
- @ Yes, it is a virtual image located on the opposite side of the mirror from the filament.
- ® Only if you use the cyIindricallens as well.

#### **Magnification and Inversion**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Note: There are two ways of moving the filament. One is to just slide the entire lamp some distance to the side and measure that distance. Another is to rotate the filament holder so that the filament is even with one edge of the lamp window, then rotate it to the other side. **In** the second method,  $h_a$  is just the width of the window.

- $\Phi$  The amount of magnification decreases with distance from the object.
- <2J The image is inverted, and the inversion does not depend on distance.

#### **Cylindrical Aberration**

- $\Phi$  The rays do not all focus to the same point.
- <2J Make a parabolic mirror instead of a cylindrical one.



### **Exp 12: Image Formation from Spherical Mirrors**

#### **Focal Length**

Q) 60mm

#### **Magnification**



@ Remove ihe viewing screen and focus an image ofihe crossed arrow larget on itself. In this position, ihe focal length will be half of the distance between the target and the mirror. This method gives a focal length of 49.5mm



**>Note:** The final two data points are not available because ihe image is located on ihe opposite side of the target from the mirror. In other words, the view of ihe image is blocked by ihe object.

Q) The results are in very good agreement wiih ihe fundamental lens equation. The magnifications are not as accurate, due to the difficulty in measuring the size of ihe image accurately.

#### $f = -50$



#### **Virtual Images**

**>Note:** It is difficult to accurately locate the virtual images. Because of ihis difficulty, ihe accuracy of the second part of this lab does not compare to ihe accuracy of the first. In addition, ihe image of ihe virtual image finder is too small to use at longer distances, so ouly ihe shorter ones should be used.





(

#### **Spherical Aberration**

- CD The image will be sharpest at the center.
- @ Smaller apertures make the image sharper, but dimmer.
- $\circled{S}$  Smaller apertures limit the light to the central portion of the mirror. Using a smaller part of the spherical mirror causes smaller amounts of spherical aberration.
- @) The best aperture for maximum sharpness would be a pinhole. This is not practical, though, because it limits the amount of light entering the mirror and causes diffraction.

### **Exp 13: Image Formation with Cylindrical Lenses**

#### **Focal Point**

 $Q$  F.L.  $1 = 43$  mm

 $F.L. 2 = 31$  mm

- @ The refracted rays are parallel when they go through the center of the lens, but are not parallel when they go through the edges.
- ® Same as #2
- @) Because ofthe shape of the lens, it bends the light at only one edge - the curved one. When the lens is rotated  $180^\circ$ , the curved side of the lens is on the opposite side, so the refraction occurs at a different place.

#### **Image Location**

 $\overline{O}$  57 mm from the lens

- @ As you decrease the object distance, the image distance increases.
- ® Yes, but it is a virtual image located on the opposite side of the lamp from the lens.

#### **Magnification & Inversion**

- CD The magnification decreases with object distance.
- @ The image is inverted for all object locations greater than the focal length of the lens.

#### **Cylindrical Aberration**

- CD The rays do not all focus at the same point.
- @ Make it thinner, decrease the curvature, limit the aperture to include only the center of the lens, change the shape from circular to parabolic. (Answers may vary.)

### **Exp 14: Spherical Lenses-Spherical and Chromatic Aberration, Aperture Size, and Depth of Field**

#### **Spherical Aberration**

- $\Phi$  The focus becomes sharper as the aperture is decreased.
- @ The best image focus would be obtained with the smallest possible aperture. This is not practical, however, because smaller apertures allow less light to enter; and at very small apertures, diffraction degrades the image.

#### **Depth of Field**

**>Note:** The depth of field measured by students will vary, depending on what they consider "good **focus",**

- $\odot$  The depth of field increases as the aperture is decreased.
- @ An infinite depth of field would require an aperture size of zero.



- @ A slightly blurred image is still visible. (The room must be fairly dark for this image to be visible.)
- ® The smaller the aperture, the sharper the focus.
- @ The magnification increases with distance.
- @



Light radiates from the object in all directions, but a small aperture selects only certain rays of that light. The rays selected by the aperture will be approximately parallel, and form an inverted image as shown.

#### **Chromatic Aberration**

Note: This effect is difficult to see unless the room is dark and the magnification is high.

 $\Phi$  The light that travels through the edge of the lens is bent more, thus the difference in the amount of bend of the different colors is more visible.

Notes: Everything in this lab applies directly to photography. It may be interesting to do the experiments described here with a lens from an SLR camera, and compare the amount of distortion.

### I~I=======\_EX;;;;;iP=15\_:\_T.\_h\_e\_D\_jff,\_~\_a\_ct\_io\_n\_G\_~\_a\_ti\_ngii;;;;;;;;;== ====;;;;;;;;;.11

- CD Narrower spacing makes wider diffraction patterns
- ® The larger number ofslits makes the pattern brighter, and somewhat sharper.
- @ There will not be complete agreement due to differences in eyesight and perception of color.

$$
A = 1.89E - 4 \nL = 44.9
$$

® Color is a very subjective measurement; different people will see the same wavelengths as being different colors. In addition, different people may not even be able to see some colors. Most people will not see as far into the violet spectrum as this, for example, but they will see farther into the red.





### **Exp 16: Single-Slit Diffraction**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- CD The spacing between fringes increases with decreasing slit width.
- @ The double-slit patterns are a superposition of a single-slit pattern and a "bar" pattern. The single-slit pattern goes like this:



and the double-slit pattern shows this same intensity pattern superimposed on a finer pattern.





The wavelengths obtained by this method are consistently higher than expected. This is due to difficulties in measuring the exact position of the minimum, due to the width of that minimum. For a better way of calculating wavelengths, use experiment 15.

@ You would only see one maxima.

$$
W \sin\left(\tan^{-1}\frac{X}{L}\right) = n\lambda
$$

$$
\sin\left(\tan^{-1}\frac{X}{L}\right) = \frac{\lambda n}{W}
$$

but if  $W < \lambda$  then

$$
\frac{\lambda n}{W} > 1 \qquad \text{(unless n = 0)}
$$

However,  $sin(x)$  1; so the equation is not valid unless  $n = 0$ . Therefore you would expect to see only the zeroth maxima.

### **Exp** 17: General Diffraction

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- CD Smaller apertures make the diffraction pattern more clear.
- <2J Smaller apertures make the diffraction pattern less bright.
- @ White light causes many overlapping diffraction patterns of different colors and slightly different sizes. Color filters simplify this pattern by limiting the pattern to one color.

#### **Crossed Slits**

CD There are really two diffraction patterns at *90°* to each other, caused by the two slits. This is important for the other parts of the lab; each diffraction pattern is independent of the others.

#### **Random array of Circular Apertures**

- $\Phi$  The diffraction pattern is wider when the holes are smaller; just as in part 1 of experiment 16.
- $\circledR$  The diffraction pattern of a point source through a circular aperture is symmetrical because the aperture itself is symmetrical. Diffraction effects are most pronounced in a direction parallel with the smallest dimension of the aperture; but in a circular aperture, all directions are the same.

#### **Square array of Circular Apertures**

- $\Phi$  This pattern is similar in that there is an overall "target" pattern. It is different in that the target is made up of a square grid of points, roughly like so:
- <2J-® Drawings will vary.



### **Exp 18: Introduction to** Optical Instruments

- $\Phi$  A virtual, magnified image is formed for object distances of less than the focal length of the lens.
- <2J A real, magnified image (also inverted) is formed for object distances between one and two focal lengths from the lens.
- @ A real, reduced image (still inverted) is formed for object distances greater than twice the focal length of the lens.
- ® Any real image can be focused on the screen, thus any object distance greater than the focal length of the lens will work.



I ~.



### **IEXP 19: The Projector**

- $\Phi$  If the object distance is less than the focal length of the lens, then the image formed is a virtual image, located on the same side of the lens as the object. This can not be focused on a viewing screen.
- @ Ifthe object distance is greater than 2f, then the image will be reduced in size. It will still be a real image, so it may be focused on the screen.
- ® One practical limit to the magnification is the size of the lens used, another is the amount of light available. Magnified images are dimmer than reduced images, because the same amount of light is spread out over more area. If the image is magnified too much, it may not be visible.
	- +~





@ The image from a projector may be viewed without a screen, but the observer must be looking towards the lens, on the opposite side of the lens from the object.

The observer will see the image floating in space on the near side of the lens. (This is easiest to see when the magnification is about one.) It will be somewhat difficult to focus both eyes on the image at the same time, but when it happens, the effect is startling.





Image View from here



**II**

**1**

### **II Exp 20: The Magnifier**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- CD No. According to the fundamental lens equation the magnification, and the angular magnification, are unlimited. The limit of *useful* magnification is caused by spherical aberration in the lens.
- @ The 75mm lens provides greater magnification.

 $\triangleright$  Note: As a demonstration that the limit to useful magnification is caused by spherical aberration, put the variable aperture in position just in front of the 75mm lens. Close the aperture as far as practical without losing the image completely, and then move the lens/aperture set as far from the object as possible without losing focus (about 9-11 cm). While looking through the lens, widen the aperture. The image will become almost completely blurred.

Another Note: The actual angular magnification depends on the distance from the eye to the lens, as well as from the lens to the object.

$$
\theta' = -\frac{fh_o}{d_e d_o - f(d_o + d_e)}
$$

where  $d_a$  is the

distance to the eye.

if  $d_{\alpha} = 0$ , then the angular size is the same as if there were no lens there at all. This is why contact lenses do not change the apparent size of things, although they do affect the focus.

 $\circled{3}$  Angular magnification = 25 cm/f.

 $75 \text{ mm} = 7.5 \text{ cm}$ : power = 3.33

 $150 \text{ mm} = 15 \text{ cm}$ : power = 1.67

 $\textcircled{4}$  Power = 25/50 = 1/2. This would have limited use as a magnifier; it just wouldn't be strong enough to make much difference.

### **Exp 21: The Telescope**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- $\Phi$  The angular magnification of the telescope is 2. (150) 75) If the telescope is looked through backwards, the lenses are reversed in the equation and the magnification becomes 1/2.
- @ About 2.1. One of the best ways of measuring this is to look at a meter stick with both eyes open (one eye through the telescope). Then you will see both the umnagnified and magnified images at the same time, and you may compare their sizes using the scales on the images. The magnification observed will generally be slightly larger than the theoretical value, since the object you are looking at is not an infinite distance away.
- @ About 1/2, using the same method as in part 2.
- ® Yes.



### **Exp 22: The Compound Microscope**

#### **Suggestions on - Procedure**

- CD The image is magnified; but at l50mm objective distance, the magnification is not significantly more than when the 75mm lens is used as a magnifier.
- ® The magnification increases dramatically as the objective lens moves closer to the object. This is because the projected image of the object becomes larger as the distance between object and objective gets closer to the focal length of the lens. (The image will be lost entirely when the objective is 75mm from the object.)
- @ Spherical aberration becomes quite a problem, and chromatic aberration becomes significant at the very high magnifications.
- ® The smaller the aperture is, the sharper the view through the microscope. A very small aperture eliminates both chromatic and spherical distortion, and allows higher magnification.
- @ Small apertures make the image quite dim. (You may want to use the lamp to illuminate the viewscreen.)
- ® The magnification would be higher.

 $\mathfrak{g}$ 

Ť

Ĵ  $\overline{1}$ 

 $\frac{Z}{N}$  $\frac{\Delta}{\Delta}$ 

# I~I**=====N=o=tes=====--!.II** )



 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$